arXiv:1010.3158v1 [math.PR] 15 Oct 2010

Properties of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion

Qian Lin [1]*

[1] Laboratoire de Mathématiques, CNRS UMR 6205, Université de Bretagne Occidentale,

6, avenue Victor Le Gorgeu, CS 93837, 29238 Brest cedex 3, France.

Abstract

In this paper, we study the differentiability of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the initial data and the parameter. In addition, the stability of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion is obtained.

Keywords: G-expectation; differentiability; G-Brownian motion; stochastic differential equations.

1 Introduction

Motivated by statistic model uncertainty, risk measures, superhedging in finance and g-expectation, Peng (see [7], [9], [10], [12] and [13]) has introduced a new type of nonlinear expectation–G-expectation. Together with the notion of the G-expectation Peng introduced the related G-normal distribution and the G-Brownian motion and established an Itô calculus for the G-Brownian motion.

In the framework of G-expectations, the G-Brownian motion has been defined and many interesting facts that rather different from classical case have been studied. The G-Brownian motion has a very rich and interesting new structure which non-trivially generalizes the classical one. A very interesting new phenomenon of the G-Brownian motion B is that its quadratic process $\langle B\rangle$ is a stochastic process and has independent and stationary increments which are identically distributed. Also, the law of large numbers and central limit theorem under nonlinear expectations were obtained by Peng (see [8], [11] and [14]).

Peng (see [7], [9], [10], [13]) derived the existence and uniqueness of the solution to stochastic differential equations with Lipschitz coefficients on the coefficients driven by the G-Brownian motion. Recently, Gao [4] studied pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion. Lin [6] obtained the continuity of stochastic integrals with respect to the G-Brownian motion and solutions of stochastic differential equations depending on parameters driven by the G-Brownian motion. Bai and Lin [1] obtained the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian Motion with integral-Lipschitz coefficients.

The purposes of this paper is to investigate the differentiability of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the initial data and the

---

* *Email address*: Qian.Lin@univ-brest.fr

parameter. In addition, the stability of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion is obtained.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and preliminaries, which we will need in what follows. In Section 3, we study the differentiability of solutions of SDEs driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the initial data. In Section 4, we study the differentiability of solutions of SDEs driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the parameter. In Section 5, we obtain a stability result of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion.

2 Notations and preliminaries

The objective of this section is to briefly recall the theory of the G-expectation and the related G-stochastic analysis (see Peng [7], [9], [10] and [12] for more details).

Let Ω be a given nonempty set and $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real functions defined on Ω such that if $x_1, \cdots, x_m \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\varphi(x_1, \cdots, x_m) \in \mathcal{H}$, for each $\varphi \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Here $C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ denotes the linear space of functions φ satisfying

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^n + |y|^n)|x - y|, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

for some $C > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, both depending on φ. The space $\mathcal{H}$ is considered as a set of random variables.

Definition 2.1 **A Sublinear expectation** $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *on* $\mathcal{H}$ *is a functional* $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ *satisfying the following properties: for all* $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$*, we have*

(i) **Monotonicity:** *If* $X \geq Y$*, then* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$.

(ii) **Constant preserving:** $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$*, for all* $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) **Self-dominated property:** $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X - Y]$.

(iv) **Positive homogeneity:** $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$*, for all* $\lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ *is called a sublinear expectation space.*

Remark 2.2 *The sublinear expectation space can be regarded as a generalization of the classical probability space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ *endowed with the linear expectation associated with* $\mathbb{P}$.

Definition 2.3 *In a sublinear expectation space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$*, a random vector* $Y = (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n), Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$*, is said to be independent under* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *of another random vector* $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_m), X_i \in \mathcal{H}$*, if for each test function* $\varphi \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ *we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}].$$

Definition 2.4 **(G-normal distribution)** *Let be given two reals* $\underline{\sigma}, \overline{\sigma}$ *with* $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \overline{\sigma}$*. A random variable* ξ *in a sublinear expectation space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ *is called* $G_{\underline{\sigma},\overline{\sigma}}$*-normal distributed,denoted by* $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$*, if for each* $\varphi \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$*, the following function defined by*

$$u(t, x) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{t}\xi)], \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

is the unique continuous viscosity solution with polynomial growth of the following parabolic partial differential equation :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = G(\partial^2_{xx} u(t, x)), \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = \varphi(x). \end{cases}$$

Here $G = G_{\underline{\sigma},\overline{\sigma}}$ *is the following sublinear function parameterized by* $\underline{\sigma}$ *and* $\overline{\sigma}$*:*

$$G(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\sigma}^2\alpha^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2\alpha^-), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

(Recall that $\alpha^+ = max\{0, \alpha\}$ *and* $\alpha^- = -min\{0, \alpha\}$*).*

For simplicity, we suppose that $\overline{\sigma} = 1$ and $\underline{\sigma} = \sigma, 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, in what follows.

Throughout this paper, we let $\Omega = C_0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be the space of all real valued continuous functions $(\omega_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ with $\omega_0 = 0$, equipped with the distance

$$\rho(\omega^1, \omega^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i}\Big[(\max_{t\in[0,i]} |\omega_t^1 - \omega_t^2|) \wedge 1\Big], \ \omega_t^1, \omega_t^2 \in \Omega.$$

For each $T > 0$, we consider the following space of random variables:

$$L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_T) := \Big\{X(\omega) = \varphi(\omega_{t_1} \cdots, \omega_{t_m}) \mid t_1, \cdots, t_m \in [0, T], \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^m), \ m \geq 1\Big\}.$$

We notice that $X, Y \in L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$ implies $X \cdot Y \in L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$ and $|X| \in L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$. We further define

$$L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_n).$$

We will work on the canonical space Ω and set $B_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, for $\omega \in \Omega$.

We now introduce a sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ defined on $\mathcal{H}_T^0 = L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ as well as on $\mathcal{H}^0 = L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$. For this, we consider the function $G(a) = \frac{1}{2}(a^+ - \sigma^2 a^-), a \in \mathbb{R}$ (with $\overline{\sigma}^2 = 1, \overline{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 \in [0, 1]$), and we apply the following procedure: for each $X \in \mathcal{H}^0$ with

$$X = \varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})$$

for some $m \geq 1, \varphi \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_m < \infty$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})]\\ &= \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0}\xi_1, \sqrt{t_2 - t_1}\xi_2, \cdots, \sqrt{t_m - t_{m-1}}\xi_m)],\end{aligned}$$

where $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_m)$ is an m-dimensional G-normal distributed random vector in a sublinear expectation space $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}})$ such that $\xi_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, [\sigma^2, 1])$ and ξ_{i+1} is independent of $(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$.

The related conditional expectation of $X = \varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})$ under $\mathcal{H}_{t_j}^0$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_{t_j}^0] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})|\mathcal{H}_{t_j}^0]\\ &= \psi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_j) = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_j, \sqrt{t_{j+1} - t_j}\xi_{j+1}, \cdots, \sqrt{t_m - t_{m-1}}\xi_m)],$$

$(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_j) \in \mathbb{R}^j, 0 \leq j \leq m.$

For $p \geq 1$, $\|X\|_p = \hat{\mathbb{E}}^{\frac{1}{p}}[|X|^p]$, $X \in L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$ defines a norm on $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$. Let $\mathcal{H} = L_G^p(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_t = L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_t)$) be the completion of $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_t)$) under the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Then the space $(L_G^p(\mathcal{F}), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space and the operators $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ (resp. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot|\mathcal{H}_t]$) can be continuously extended to the Banach space $L_G^p(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_t)$). Moreover, we have $L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_t) \subseteq L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T) \subset L_G^p(\mathcal{F})$, for all $0 \leq t \leq T < \infty$.

Definition 2.5 *The expectation* $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : L_G^p(\mathcal{F}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ *defined through the above procedure is called* G*-expectation.*

Proposition 2.6 *For all* $t, s \in [0, \infty)$*, we list the properties of* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot|\mathcal{H}_t]$ *that hold for all* $X, Y \in L_G^p(\mathcal{F})$ *:*

(i) *If* $X \geq Y$*, then* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_t] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{H}_t]$*;*

(ii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\eta|\mathcal{H}_t] = \eta$*, for all* $\eta \in L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_t)$*;*

(iii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_t] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{H}_t] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X - Y|\mathcal{H}_t]$*;*

(iv) *If* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{H}_t] = -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-Y|\mathcal{H}_t]$*, then* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y|\mathcal{H}_t] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_t] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{H}_t]$*;*

(v) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_t]|\mathcal{H}_s] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_{t\wedge s}]$*, and, in particular,* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\mathcal{H}_t]] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$*.*

For $p \geq 1$ and arbitrary but fixed $0 < T < \infty$, we first consider the following space of step processes:

$$\begin{aligned} M_G^{p,0}(0,T) \quad = \quad & \Big\{ \eta : \eta_t = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j I_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}, 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T, \\ & \qquad \xi_j \in L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}), j = 0, \cdots, N-1, \text{for all } N \geq 1 \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we define the following norm in $M_G^{p,0}(0,T)$:

$$\| \eta \|_p = \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[|\eta_t|^p\Big] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[|\xi_j|^p\Big](t_{j+1} - t_j) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Finally, we denote by $M_G^p(0,T)$ the completion of $M_G^{p,0}(0,T)$ under the norm $\| \cdot \|_p$.

Definition 2.7 *A process* $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ *in a sublinear expectation space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ *is called a* G*-Brownian motion if for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n < \infty$*,* $B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_n} \in \mathcal{H}$ *and the following property satisfied:*

(i) $B_0 = 0$*;*

(ii) *For each* $t, s \geq 0$*, the difference* $B_{t+s} - B_t$ *is* $\mathcal{N}(0,\ [\sigma^2 s, s])$*-distributed and is independent of* $(B_{t_1}, \cdots, B_{t_n})$*, for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n \leq t$*.*

Remark 2.8 *The canonical process* $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ *in* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$*,* $\Omega = C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$*, endowed with the* G*-expectation* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *is a* G*-Brownian motion.*

Remark 2.9 *In [7], [9], [10] and [13], Peng established a stochastic calculus of Itô's type with respect to the* G*-Brownian motion and its quadratic variation process. Peng derived an Itô's formula and moreover, he obtained the existence and uniqueness of the solution to stochastic differential equations with Lipschitz coeffcients driven by the* G*-Brownian motion.*

In order to simplify notations, we will only consider one dimensional stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion. Multidimensional stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion can be studied in the similar way.

In what follows, we let T be an arbitrarily fixed time horizon and $\{B_t,\ 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ a one dimensional G-Brownian motion, and we consider the following stochastic differential equation:

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s^x)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s^x)dB_s + \int_0^t h(s, X_s^x)d\langle B\rangle_s,\ t \in [0,T], \tag{2.1}$$

where the initial condition $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is given and $b(\cdot,\cdot), \sigma(\cdot,\cdot), h(\cdot,\cdot) : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $b(\cdot,x), \sigma(\cdot,x), h(\cdot,x) \in M_G^2(0,T)$, for very $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us make the following assumption:

(H) There exists a positive constant L such that, for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0,T]$,

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,x')| + |\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,x')| + |h(t,x) - h(t,x')| \leq L|x - x'|,$$

Lemma 2.10 *Under assumption (H) there exists a unique solution $X \in M_G^2(0,T)$ of the stochastic differential equation (2.1).*

Lemma 2.11 *For every $p \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant C_p such that, for any $T > 0$ and $\eta \in M_G^p(0,T)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \eta_s ds|^p\Big] &\leq T^{p-1}\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[|\eta_s|^p\Big]ds,\\
\hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \eta_s d\langle B\rangle_s|^p\Big] &\leq T^{p-1}\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[|\eta_s|^p\Big]ds,\\
\hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s|^p\Big] &\leq C_p T^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[|\eta_s|^p\Big]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

3 Differentiability of solutions of SDEs driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the initial data

The objective of this section is to study the differentiability of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the initial data. We first give a definition of continuity and differentiability as follows:

Definition 3.1 *A process $\{Y_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is said to be continuous in L_G^2 if*

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t - Y_{t+h}|^2] = 0.$$

Definition 3.2 *Let $g(x) = g(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ and $f(x) = f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be random functions. For some $1 \leq i \leq n$, if*

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\frac{g(x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n) - g(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}{h} - f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)|^2] = 0.$$

then we define the partial derivative of $g(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ with respect to x_i as $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. We write

$$\frac{\partial g(x_1, \cdots, x_n)}{\partial x_i} = g_{x_i}(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = f(x_1, \cdots, x_n).$$

We also need the following propositions.

Proposition 3.3 *Under assumption (H), for all $p \geq 0$, we have the following estimate for the solution X of SDE (2.1): for all $r \in [0,T]$,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^p] \leq C < +\infty.$$

Here the constant $C = C(p,x,r)$.

Proof: We give the proof in three steps.

Step 1. For $p \geq 2$, by equation(2.1) we have

$$|X_t^x|^p \leq 3^{p-1}\Big(|x|^p + |\int_0^t b(s,X_s^x)ds|^p + |\int_0^t \sigma(s,X_s^x)dB_s|^p + |\int_0^t h(s,X_s^x)d\langle B\rangle_s|^p$$

From the subadditivity of the G-expectation it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^p] &\leq 3^{p-1}\Big(|x|^p + \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |\int_0^t b(s,X_s^x)ds|^p\Big] \\ &\quad + \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |\int_0^t \sigma(s,X_s^x)dB_s|^p\Big] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |\int_0^t h(s,X_s^x)d\langle B\rangle_s|^p\Big]\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (H), Lemma 2.11 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^p] &\leq C\Big[|x|^p + r^{p-1}\int_0^r \Big(1+\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s^x|^p]\Big)ds \\ &\quad + r^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\int_0^r \Big(1+\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s^x|^p]\Big)ds + r^{p-1}\int_0^T \Big(1+\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s^x|^p]\Big)ds\Big] \\ &\leq C\Big(1+\int_0^r \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s^x|^p]ds\Big) \\ &\leq C\Big(1+\int_0^r \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s'\in[0,s]} |X_{s'}^x|^p]ds\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^p] \leq C < +\infty.$$

Step 2. For all $1 \leq p < 2$, from Hölder inequality under the G-expectation and Step 1 it follows that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^p] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^{2p}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C < +\infty.$$

Step 3. For all $0 < p < 1$, since

$$|X_t^x|^p \leq |X_t^x|^p 1_{\{|X_t^x|^p \leq 1\}} + |X_t^x|^p 1_{\{|X_t^x|^p \geq 1\}} \leq 1 + |X_t^x|^{1+p},$$

then from step 2 we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^p] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[1 + \sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^x|^{1+p}] \leq C < +\infty.$$

The proof is complete. □

Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3 we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4 *Let us assume (H). Then for every $p \geq 2$ there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_t^x - X_t^y|^p] \leq C|x-y|^p, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],$$

where C depends only on p, T.

We have the following differentiability result with respect to the initial data.

Theorem 3.5 *For all $t \in [0,T]$, if $b_x(t,\cdot), \sigma_x(t,\cdot), h_x(t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$ and are bounded, then X_t^x is differentiable in L_G^2 with respect to x. Moreover, $Y_t^x := \frac{\partial X_t^x}{\partial x}$ satisfies the following stochastic differential equation*

$$Y_t^x = 1 + \int_0^t b_x(s,X_s^x)Y_s^x ds + \int_0^t \sigma_x(s,X_s^x)Y_s^x dB_s + \int_0^t h_x(s,X_s^x)Y_s^x d\langle B\rangle_s, \ t \in [0,T]. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof: Let $h \neq 0$ be small. For simplicity, we put

$$X_t := X_t^x, \ Y_t := Y_t^x, \ \widetilde{X}_t := X_t^{x+h}, \ Z_t^h := \frac{\widetilde{X}_t - X_t}{h}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^h &= 1 + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(s,X_s)]ds + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(s,X_s)]dB_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Since $b_x(t,\cdot), \sigma_x(t,\cdot), h_x(t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$, $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^h &= 1 + \int_0^t \int_0^1 b_x(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \sigma_x(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^1 h_x(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h d\langle B\rangle_s \\ &\doteq 1 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |I_1 - \int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2] \\ \leq\ & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T \int_0^1 |b_x(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))|d\theta |Z_s^h - Y_s|ds|^2] \\ & + 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T \int_0^1 |b_x(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s)) - b_x(s,X_s)|d\theta |Y_s|ds|^2] \\ \leq\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |Z_s^h - Y_s|^2 ds] \\ & + C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T (|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s| + |\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^{k+1} + |X_s|^k|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|)|Y_s|ds|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, from $2ab \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2 + \varepsilon b^2, a, b \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |I_1 - \int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h - Y_r|^2 ds] + C\varepsilon\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^4]ds. \\ &+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^4 + |\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^{4k+4} + |X_s|^{4k}|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^4]ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then by virtue of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_1 - \int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2] \\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h - Y_r|^2 ds] + C\varepsilon + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4 + h^{4k+4}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Using the similar argument we obtain that for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_2 - \int_0^t \sigma_x(s,X_s)Y_s dB_s|^2] \\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h - Y_r|^2]ds + C\varepsilon + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4 + h^{4m+4}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_3 - \int_0^t h_x(s,X_s)Y_s d\langle B\rangle_s|^2] \\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h - Y_r|^2]ds + C\varepsilon + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4 + h^{4n+4}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Then (3.1), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h - Y_t|^2] \\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h - Y_r|^2]ds + C\varepsilon + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4 + h^{4k+4} + h^{4m+4} + h^{4n+4}), \end{aligned}$$

and from Gronwall's inequality it follows that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h - Y_t|^2] \leq C\varepsilon + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4 + h^{4k+4} + h^{4m+4} + h^{4n+4}).$$

Letting $h\to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h - Y_t|^2] \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h - Y_t|^2] = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.6 *We can check that the following holds true, which we will use in what follows.*

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h - Y_t|^4] = 0.$$

Theorem 3.7 *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.5, $\frac{\partial X_t^x}{\partial x}$ is continuous with respect to t in L_G^2.*

Proof: We use the same notations as those in the proof of Theorem 3.5. For all $t \in [0,T]$, by the condition of b, σ, h and Proposition 3.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h|^2] &\leq 4 + \frac{4}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^t [b(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(s,X_s)]ds|^2] + \frac{4}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^t [\sigma(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(s,X_s)]dB_s|^2] \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^t [h(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s|^2] \\
&\leq 4 + \frac{4t}{h^2}\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(s,X_s)|^2]ds + \frac{4}{h^2}\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(s,X_s)|^2]ds \\
&\quad + \frac{4t}{h^2}\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|h(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(s,X_s)|^2]ds \\
&\leq 4 + \frac{C}{h^2}\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^2]ds \\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on T and the Lipschitz constant of b, σ, h. Therefore, from (3.7) we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t|^2] \leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t - Z_t^h|^2] + 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h|^2] \leq K < \infty.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $0 \leq r \leq t \leq T$. By (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h - Z_r^h|^2] &\leq \frac{3}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t [b(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(s,X_s)]ds|^2] + \frac{3}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t [\sigma(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(s,X_s)]dB_s|^2] \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t [h(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by virtue of Lipschitz condition of b and Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t [b(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(s,X_s)]ds|^2] \\
\leq\ &\frac{t-r}{h^2}\int_r^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(s,X_s)|^2]ds \\
\leq\ &\frac{C(t-r)}{h^2}\int_r^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^2]ds \\
\leq\ &C(t-r)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant which is independent of t, s. Using the similar argument we obtain

$$\frac{1}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t [\sigma(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(s,X_s)]dB_s|^2] \leq C(t-r),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t [h(s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s|^2] \leq C(t-r)^2.$$

Then from the above inequalities we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h - Z_r^h|^2] \leq K(t-r). \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, by (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t - Y_s|^2] &\leq 3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t - Z_t^h|^2] + 3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h - Z_s^h|^2] + 3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_s^h - Y_s|^2] \\ &\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

as $t \to s$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 3.8 *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.5, and for* $t \in [0,T]$, *if* $b_{xx}(t,\cdot), \sigma_{xx}(t,\cdot), h_{xx}(t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$ *and are bounded, then* $\frac{\partial X_t^x}{\partial x}$ *is continuously differentiable in* L_G^2 *with respect to* x. *Moreover,* $P_t^x := \frac{\partial^2 X_t^x}{\partial x^2}$ *satisfies the following stochastic differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned}P_t^x &= \int_0^t b_{xx}(s,X_s^x)(Y_s^x)^2 ds + \int_0^t \sigma_{xx}(s,X_s^x)(Y_s^x)^2 dB_s + \int_0^t h_{xx}(s,X_s^x)(Y_s^x)^2 d\langle B\rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t b_x(s,X_s^x)P_s^x ds + \int_0^t \sigma_x(s,X_s^x)P_s^x dB_s + \int_0^t h_x(s,X_s^x)P_s^x d\langle B\rangle_s,\ t \in [0,T],\end{aligned}$$

where Y_t^x *is defined in Theorem 3.5.*

Proof: Let $h \neq 0$ be small. We use the same notations as Theorem 3.5. For simplicity, we also put

$$P_t := P_t^x,\ Q_t^h := \frac{\widetilde{Y}_t - Y_t}{h}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}Q_t^h &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s - b_x(s,X_s)Y_s]ds + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s - \sigma_x(s,X_s)Y_s]dB_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s - h_x(s,X_s)Y_s]d\langle B\rangle_s.\end{aligned}$$

Since $b_{xx}(t,\cdot), \sigma_{xx}(t,\cdot), h_{xx}(t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$, for all $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$Q_t^h = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \int_0^t b_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 b_{xx}(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h Y_s ds, \\ I_2 &= \int_0^t \sigma_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h dB_s + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \sigma_{xx}(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h Y_s dB_s, \\ I_3 &= \int_0^t h_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h d\langle B\rangle_s + \int_0^t \int_0^1 h_{xx}(s, X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h Y_s d\langle B\rangle_s.\end{aligned}$$

Since $b_x(t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$, $t \in [0,T]$, and is bounded, we have, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t b_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h ds - \int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)P_s ds|^2] \\ \leq\ & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T |b_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)||Q_s^h - P_s|ds)^2] \\ &+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T |b_x(s,\widetilde{X}) - b_x(s,X_s)||P_s|ds)^2]\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|Q_s^h-P_s|^2ds]\\
&+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T(|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{k+1}+|X_s|^k|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|)|P_s|ds)^2].
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $\varepsilon>0$, from $2ab\leq\frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2+\varepsilon b^2, a,b\geq 0$, it follows that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t b_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^hds-\int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)P_sds|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|Q_s^h-P_s|^2ds]+C\varepsilon\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^4]ds\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{4k+4}+|X_s|^{4k}|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by virtue of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t b_x(s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^hds-\int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)P_sds|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2ds]+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.10}
$$

Since $b_{xx}(t,\cdot)\in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R})$, $t\in[0,T]$ and is bounded, we have, for some $l\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^hY_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\ & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T\int_0^1|b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))|d\theta|Z_s^hY_s-Y_s^2|ds)^2]\\
&+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^t\int_0^1|b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))-b_{xx}(s,X_s)|d\theta Y_s^2ds)^2]\\
\leq\ & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T|Z_s^hY_s-Y_s^2|ds)^2]\\
&+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^t(|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{l+1}+|X_s|^l|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|)Y_s^2ds)^2].
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $\varepsilon>0$, from $2ab\leq\frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2+\varepsilon b^2, a,b\geq 0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^hY_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\ & \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C\varepsilon\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^4]ds\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{4l+4}+|X_s|^{4l}|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by means of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^hY_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\ & \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

From (3.10) and (3.11) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_1-\int_0^t b_x(s,X_s)P_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}+h^{4l+4})+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4].
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the similar argument we obtain, for some $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_2-\int_0^t \sigma_x(s,X_s)P_sdB_s-\int_0^t \sigma_{xx}(s,X_s)Y_s^2dB_s|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4m+4}+h^{4n+4})+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4].
\end{aligned}
$$

and for some $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_3-\int_0^t h_x(s,X_s)P_sd\langle B\rangle_s-\int_0^t h_{xx}(s,X_s)Y_s^2d\langle B\rangle_s|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4p+4}+h^{4q+4})+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4].
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the above inequalities yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]\\
&+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}+h^{4l+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4n+4}+h^{4p+4}+h^{4q+4}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and Gronwall's inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]\ \leq\ & C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}+h^{4l+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4n+4}+h^{4p+4}+h^{4q+4}).
\end{aligned}
$$

By Remark 3.6 we get

$$
\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]\leq C\varepsilon.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]=0. \tag{3.12}
$$

The proof is complete. □

4 Differentiability of solutions of SDEs driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the parameter

The aim of this section is to study the differentiability of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion with respect to the parameter. We consider the following stochastic differential equation depending on the parameter:

$$X_t^\alpha = x(\alpha) + \int_0^t b(\alpha, s, X_s^\alpha)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\alpha, s, X_s^\alpha)dB_s + \int_0^t h(\alpha, s, X_s^\alpha)d\langle B\rangle_s, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad (4.1)$$

where the initial condition $\alpha \mapsto x(\alpha) : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is given. Let us make the following assumptions:

(H4.1) The functions $x, b, \sigma, h : \mathbb{R} \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz in x and α, uniformly with respect to $t \in [0,T]$.

Proposition 4.1 *Under assumption (H4.1), $\{X_t^\alpha\}$ is continuous in L_G^2 with respect to α.*

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t \in [0,T]$. From the stochastic differential equation (4.1), Lemma 2.11, the subadditivity of G-expectation and (H4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_t^\alpha - X_t^\beta|^2] \ &\leq\ 4\Big(|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2 + \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\Big|\int_0^t \Big[b(\alpha, X_s^\alpha) - b(\beta, X_s^\beta)\Big]ds\Big|^2\Big] \\ &\quad + \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\Big|\int_0^t \Big[\sigma(\alpha, X_s^\alpha) - \sigma(\beta, X_s^\beta)\Big]dB_s\Big|^2\Big] \\ &\quad + \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[\Big|\int_0^t \Big[h(\alpha, X_s^\alpha) - h(\beta, X_s^\beta)\Big]d\langle B\rangle_s\Big|^2\Big]\Big) \\ &\leq\ C\Big(|\alpha - \beta|^2 + \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}\Big[|X_s^\alpha - X_s^\beta|^2\Big]ds\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Gronwall's inequality we get

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_t^\alpha - X_t^\beta|^2] \ \leq\ C|\alpha - \beta|^2, \ t \in [0,T], \quad (4.2)$$

then we get the desired result. □

Proposition 4.2 *Under assumption (H4.1), we have for every $p \geq 0$ the following estimate for the solution X of SDE (4.1): for all $r \in [0,T]$,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,r]} |X_t^\alpha|^p] \leq C < +\infty.$$

Here the constant $C = C(p, r, \alpha)$.

Proposition 4.3 *Let us assume (H4.1). Then for every $p \geq 2$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t^\alpha - X_t^\beta|^p] \leq C|\alpha - \beta|^p, \ \textit{for all } t \in [0,T].$$

Here $C = C(p,T)$.

The proofs of the above propositions are similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3. We omit it.

Theorem 4.4 *For $t \in [0,T]$, if $b_x(\cdot,t,\cdot), \sigma_x(\cdot,t,\cdot), h_x(\cdot,t,\cdot), b_\alpha(\cdot,t,\cdot), \sigma_\alpha(\cdot,t,\cdot), h_\alpha(\cdot,t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $b_x(\cdot,t,\cdot), \sigma_x(\cdot,t,\cdot), h_x(\cdot,t,\cdot)$ are bounded and $x(\cdot)$ is differentiable, then X_t^α is differentiable in L_G^2 with respect to α. Moreover, for all $t \in [0,T], Y_t^\alpha := \frac{\partial X_t^\alpha}{\partial \alpha}$ satisfies the following stochastic differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^\alpha &= x'(\alpha) + \int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)Y_s^\alpha ds + \int_0^t \sigma_x(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)Y_s^\alpha dB_s + \int_0^t h_x(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)Y_s^\alpha d\langle B\rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)dB_s + \int_0^t h_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)d\langle B\rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $h \neq 0$ be small. For simplicity, we put

$$X_t := X_t^\alpha,\ Y_t := Y_t^\alpha,\ \widetilde{X}_t := X_t^{\alpha+h},\ Z_t^h := \frac{\widetilde{X}_t - X_t}{h}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^h &= \frac{x(\alpha+h)-x(\alpha)}{h} + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s \\ &= \frac{x(\alpha+h)-x(\alpha)}{h} + I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)]ds + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds, \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)]dB_s + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s, \\ I_3 &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s + \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - h(\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_x(\cdot,t,\cdot), b_x(\cdot,t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$I_1 = \int_0^t \int_0^1 b_\alpha(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta ds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 b_x(\alpha,s,X_s + \theta(\widetilde{X}_s - X_s))d\theta Z_s^h ds.$$

Therefore, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \int_0^1 b_\alpha(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta ds - \int_0^t b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)ds|^2] \\ \leq\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \int_0^1 |b_\alpha(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b_\alpha(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)|^2 d\theta ds] \\ & + C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |b_\alpha(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)|^2 ds] \\ \leq\ & C(h^2 + h^{2k+2}) \\ & + C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s| + |\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|^{k+1} + |X_s|^k|\widetilde{X}_s - X_s|)^2]ds, \end{aligned}$$

and by Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_\alpha(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta ds-\int_0^t b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)ds|^2]\\ \leq\ & C(h^2+h^{2k+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Using the argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 we get for some $l\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_x(\alpha,s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^h ds-\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2]\\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h-Y_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_1-\int_0^t b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)ds-\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2]\\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h-Y_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4})+C(h^2+h^{2l+2}).\end{aligned}$$

By the similar argument we have for some $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_2-\int_0^t \sigma_x(s,X_s)Y_s dB_s-\int_0^t \sigma_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)dB_s|^2]\\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h-Y_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4n+4})+C(h^2+h^{2m+2}).\end{aligned}$$

and for some $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_3-\int_0^t h_x(s,X_s)Y_s d\langle B\rangle_s-\int_0^t h_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)d\langle B\rangle_s|^2]\\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h-Y_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4q+4})+C(h^2+h^{2p+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Then the above inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h-Y_t|^2]\\ \leq\ & C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Z_r^h-Y_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}+h^{4n+4}+h^{4q+4})\\ &+C(h^2+h^{2k+2}+h^{2m+2}+h^{2p+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Gronwall's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h-Y_t|^2]\ \leq\ & C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}+h^{4n+4}+h^{4q+4})\\ &+C(h^2+h^{2k+2}+h^{2m+2}+h^{2p+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $h\to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h-Y_t|^2]\leq C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h-Y_t|^2]=0. \tag{4.4}$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.5 *We can check that the following holds true in the proof of the above theorem, which we will use in what follows.*

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_t^h-Y_t|^4]=0.$$

Proposition 4.6 *Under the conditions of Theorem 4.4, if $x(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable, then $\frac{\partial X_t^\alpha}{\partial\alpha}$ is continuous with respect to t in L_G^2.*

Proof: We use the same notations as those in the proof of Theorem 4.4. For all $t\in[0,T]$, by the condition of b,σ,h and Proposition 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h|^2] &\leq 4+\frac{4}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^t[b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds|^2]\\
&\quad+\frac{4}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^t[\sigma(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-\sigma(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s|^2]\\
&\quad+\frac{4}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^t[h(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-h(\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s|^2]\\
&\leq 4+\frac{4t}{h^2}\int_0^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}[b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b(\alpha,s,X_s)]^2]ds\\
&\quad+\frac{4}{h^2}\int_0^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-\sigma(\alpha,s,X_s)|^2]ds\\
&\quad+\frac{4t}{h^2}\int_0^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|h(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-h(\alpha,s,X_s)|^2]ds\\
&\leq 4+\frac{C}{h^2}\int_0^t\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^2]ds\\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

where K is a constant depending on T and Lipschitz constant of b,σ,h. Therefore, from (4.4) we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t|^2]\leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t-Z_t^h|^2]+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h|^2]\leq C<\infty.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $0\leq r\leq t\leq T$. By (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h-Z_r^h|^2] &\leq \frac{3}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t[b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds|^2]\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t[\sigma(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-\sigma(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s|^2]\\
&\quad+\frac{3}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t[h(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-h(\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by virtue of Lipschitz condition of b and Proposition 4.3, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t[b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds|^2]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le \frac{t-r}{h^2}\int_r^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b(\alpha,s,X_s)|^2]ds\\
&\le \frac{C(t-r)}{h^2}\int_r^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^2+h^2]ds\\
&\le C(t-r)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where C is a constant which is independent of t, s. By the similar argument we obtain

$$\frac{1}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t[\sigma(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-\sigma(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s|^2]\le C(t-r),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{h^2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_r^t[h(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-h(\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s|^2]\le C(t-r)^2.$$

Then the above inequalities yield

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h-Z_r^h|^2]\le K(t-r). \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, by (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t-Y_s|^2] &\le 3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t-Z_t^h|^2]+3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_t^h-Z_s^h|^2]+3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z_s^h-Y_s|^2]\\
&\to 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t\to s$. The proof is complete. □

Proposition 4.7 *Under the conditions of Theorem 4.4, if $x(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable, then*

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Y_t^\alpha-Y_t^{\alpha+h}|^2]=0$$

Proof: Let $h\neq 0$ be small. For simplicity, we put

$$X_t:=X_t^\alpha,\ \widetilde{X}_t:=X_t^{\alpha+h},\ Y_t:=Y_t^\alpha,\ \widetilde{Y}_t:=Y_t^{\alpha+h}.$$

Then we have

$$\widetilde{Y}_t-Y_t\ =\ x'(\alpha+h)-x'(\alpha)+I_1+I_2+I_3,$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_0^t[b_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s-b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]ds+\int_0^t[b_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds,\\
I_2 &= \int_0^t[\sigma_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s-\sigma_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]dB_s+\int_0^t[\sigma_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-\sigma_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s,\\
I_3 &= \int_0^t[h_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s-h_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]d\langle B\rangle_s+\int_0^t[h_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-h_\alpha\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s.
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $\varepsilon>0$, from $2ab\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2+\varepsilon b^2, a,b\ge 0$, it follows that for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t[b_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s-b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]ds|^2]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T [b_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)(\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s)]ds|^2] \\
&\quad +2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T [b_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)]Y_s ds|^2] \\
&\leq C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2 ds]+C(h^2+h^{2k+2})\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^2]ds+C\varepsilon\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^4]ds \\
&\quad +\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{4k+4}+|X_s|^{4k}|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by virtue of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t [b_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds|^2] \\
\leq\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2 ds]+C(h^2+h^{2k+2})+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}).
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for some $l\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t [b_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds|^2] \\
\leq\ & C(h^2+h^{2l+2})+C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^2+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{2l+2}+|X_s|^{2l}|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^2]ds \\
\leq\ & C(h^2+h^{2l+2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_1|^2]\leq C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2 ds]+C(h^2+h^{2k+2}+h^{2l+2})+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}).
$$

Similarly, we have for some $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_2|^2]\leq C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2 ds]+C(h^2+h^{2m+2}+h^{2n+2})+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4m+4}),
$$

and for some $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_2|^2]\leq C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2 ds]+C(h^2+h^{2p+2}+h^{2q+2})+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4p+4}).
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_t-Y_t|^2] \leq\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \sup_{r\in[0,s]}|\widetilde{Y}_r-Y_r|^2 ds]+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4p+4}) \\
&+C(h^2+h^{2k+2}+h^{2l+2}+h^{2m+2}+h^{2n+2}+h^{2p+2}+h^{2q+2}) \\
&+C\varepsilon+|x'(\alpha+h)-x'(\alpha)|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to Gronwall's inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_t-Y_t|^2] \leq\ & \frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4p+4})+C\varepsilon+|x'(\alpha+h)-x'(\alpha)|^2 \\
&+C(h^2+h^{2k+2}+h^{2l+2}+h^{2m+2}+h^{2n+2}+h^{2p+2}+h^{2q+2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $h \to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_t - Y_t|^2] \le C\varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_t - Y_t|^2] = 0$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 4.8 *Under the condition of Theorem 4.4, $x(\cdot)$ is twice differentiable and for all $t \in [0,T]$, $b_{xx}(\cdot,t,\cdot), b_{x\alpha}(\cdot,t,\cdot), \sigma_{xx}(\cdot,t,\cdot), \sigma_{x\alpha}(\cdot,t,\cdot), h_{xx}(\cdot,t,\cdot), h_{x\alpha}(\cdot,t,\cdot) \in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and are bounded, then $\frac{\partial X_t^\alpha}{\partial\alpha}$ is continuously differentiable in L_G^2 with respect to x. Moreover, $P_t^\alpha := \frac{\partial^2 X_t^\alpha}{\partial\alpha^2}$ satisfies the following stochastic differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^\alpha &= x''(\alpha) + \int_0^t b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)(Y_s^\alpha)^2 ds + \int_0^t \sigma_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)(Y_s^\alpha)^2 dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t h_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)(Y_s^\alpha)^2 d\langle B\rangle_s + \int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)P_s^\alpha ds + \int_0^t \sigma_x(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)P_s^\alpha dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t h_x(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)P_s^\alpha d\langle B\rangle_s + 2\int_0^t b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)Y_s^\alpha ds \\ &\quad +2\int_0^t \sigma_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)Y_s^\alpha dB_s + 2\int_0^t h_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)Y_s^\alpha d\langle B\rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)ds + \int_0^t \sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)dB_s + \int_0^t h_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s^\alpha)d\langle B\rangle_s, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where Y_t^α is defined in Theorem 4.4.

Proof: Let $h \neq 0$ be small. We use the same notations as Theorem 4.4. For simplicity, we also put

$$P_t := P_t^x,\ Q_t^h := \frac{\widetilde{Y}_t - Y_t}{h}.$$

Then we have

$$Q_t^h = \frac{x(\alpha+h) - x(\alpha)}{h} + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s - b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]ds \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - b_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds, \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s - \sigma_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]dB_s \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [\sigma_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s) - \sigma_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]dB_s, \\ I_3 &= \frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s - h_x(s,X_s)Y_s]d\langle B\rangle_s \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [h_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-h_\alpha(\alpha,s,X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s.$$

We divide the proof into several steps.
Step 1: Since $b_{x\alpha}(\cdot,t,\cdot)\in C_{l,lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for all $t\in[0,T]$, we have

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b_x(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s-b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s]ds \quad = \quad \int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta\widetilde{Y}_s ds.$$

Then for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta\widetilde{Y}_s ds-\int_0^t b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2]\\
\le\ & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta(\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s)ds|^2]\\
&+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T\int_0^1 |b_{x\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)|d\theta|Y_s|ds)^2]\\
\le\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2ds]+C(h^2+h^{2k+2})\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^2]ds\\
&+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T(|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{k+1}+|X_s|^k|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|)|Y_s|ds)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

For all $\varepsilon>0$, from $2ab\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2+\varepsilon b^2, a,b\ge 0$, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta\widetilde{Y}_s ds-\int_0^t b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2]\\
\le\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2]+C(h^2+h^{2k+2})+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4k+4}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Since

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t[b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)\widetilde{Y}_s-b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)Y_s]ds=\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h ds,$$

then we have for some $l\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h ds-\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)P_s ds|^2]\\
\le\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)|^2|Q_s^h-P_s|^2ds]\\
&+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)|^2|P_s|^2ds]\\
\le\ & C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|Q_s^h-P_s|^2ds]+C\varepsilon\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|P_s|^4]ds\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{4l+4}+|X_s|^{4l}|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by virtue of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we obtain

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)Q_s^h ds-\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)P_s ds|^2]$$

$$\leq \quad C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2ds]+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}).$$

Step 3: Since

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t[b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)Y_s-b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s]ds=\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s)-X_s)d\theta Y_sZ_s^hds,$$

then we have for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^hY_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\quad & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T\int_0^1|b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))|d\theta|Z_s^hY_s-Y_s^2|ds)^2]\\
&+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T\int_0^1|b_{xx}(s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))-b_{xx}(s,X_s^x)|d\theta Y_s^2ds)^2]\\
\leq\quad & 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T|Z_s^hY_s-Y_s^2|ds)^2]\\
&+2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T(|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{m+1}+|X_s|^m|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|)Y_s^2ds)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

For all $\varepsilon>0$, from $2ab\leq\frac{1}{\varepsilon}a^2+\varepsilon b^2, a,b\geq0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^hY_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\quad & \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C\varepsilon\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4+|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^{4m+4}+|X_s|^{4m}|\widetilde{X}_s-X_s|^4]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using again Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s))d\theta Z_s^hY_sds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s^2ds|^2]\\
\leq\quad & \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4m+4}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Since

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t[b_\alpha(\alpha+h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_x(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)]ds=\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta ds,$$

then we have for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,\widetilde{X}_s)d\theta ds-\int_0^t b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)ds|^2]\\
\leq\quad & \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T\int_0^1|b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha+\theta h,s,X_s)-b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)|^2d\theta ds]\\
\leq\quad & C(h^2+h^{2n+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 5: Since

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_0^t [b_\alpha(\alpha,s,\widetilde{X}_s)-b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)]ds=\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,(X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s)))d\theta Z_s^h ds,$$

then we have for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,(X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s)))d\theta Z_s^h ds-\int_0^t b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s ds|^2]\\
\leq\ &\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T\int_0^1 b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,(X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s)))d\theta(Z_s^h-Y_s)ds|^2]\\
&+\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T\int_0^1 (b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,(X_s+\theta(\widetilde{X}_s-X_s)))-b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s))d\theta Y_s ds|^2]\\
\leq\ &C(h^2+h^{2k+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

From step 1-step 5 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_1-2\int_0^t b_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s ds-\int_0^t b_x(\alpha,s,X_s)P_s ds\\
&-\int_0^t b_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)ds-\int_0^t b_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s^2 ds|^2]\\
\leq\ &C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4k+4})\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C(h^2+h^{2n+2}+h^{2k+2})+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the similar argument we obtain that for some $k_1,l_1,m_1,n_1\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_2-2\int_0^t \sigma_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s dB_s-\int_0^t \sigma_x(\alpha,s,X_s)P_s dB_s\\
&-\int_0^t \sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)dB_s-\int_0^t \sigma_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s^2 dB_s|^2]\\
\leq\ &C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l_1+4}+h^{4m_1+4}+h^{4k_1+4})\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C(h^2+h^{2n_1+2}+h^{2k_1+2})+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

and for some $k_2,l_2,m_2,n_2\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_3-2\int_0^t h_{x\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s d\langle B\rangle_s-\int_0^t h_x(\alpha,s,X_s)P_s d\langle B\rangle_s\\
&-\int_0^t h_{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha,s,X_s)d\langle B\rangle_s-\int_0^t h_{xx}(\alpha,s,X_s)Y_s^2 d\langle B\rangle_s|^2]\\
\leq\ &C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+C\varepsilon+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l_2+4}+h^{4m_2+4}+h^{4k_2+4})\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C(h^2+h^{2n_2+2}+h^{2k_2+2})+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Then (4.6) and the above inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|Q_r^h-P_r|^2]ds+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C\varepsilon\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4k+4}+h^{4l_1+4}+h^{4m_1+4}+h^{4k_1+4}+h^{4l_2+4}+h^{4m_2+4}+h^{4k_2+4})\\
&+C(h^2+h^{2n+2}+h^{2k+2}+h^{2n_1+2}+h^{2k_1+2}+h^{2n_2+2}+h^{2k_2+2})+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Gronwall's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]\\
\leq\ & \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z_s^h-Y_s|^4]+C\varepsilon+C(h^2+h^{2n+2}+h^{2k+2}+h^{2n_1+2}+h^{2k_1+2}+h^{2n_2+2}+h^{2k_2+2})\\
&+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}(h^4+h^{4l+4}+h^{4m+4}+h^{4k+4}+h^{4l_1+4}+h^{4m_1+4}+h^{4k_1+4}+h^{4l_2+4}+h^{4m_2+4}+h^{4k_2+4})\\
&+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}|\widetilde{Y}_s-Y_s|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Remark 4.5 and Proposition 4.7 we get

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]\leq C\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Q_t^h-P_t|^2]=0.$$

The proof is complete. □

5 Stability of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion

In this section, we study the stability of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion We consider the following stochastic differential equations:

$$X_t^n=X_0^n+\int_0^t b^n(s,X_s^n)ds+\int_0^t\sigma^n(s,X_s^n)dB_s+\int_0^t h^n(X_s^n)d\langle B\rangle_s,\ \text{for all}\ \ t\in[0,T],\qquad(5.1)$$

$$X_t=X_0+\int_0^t b(s,X_s)ds+\int_0^t\sigma(s,X_s)dB_s+\int_0^t h(s,X_s)d\langle B\rangle_s,\ \text{for all}\ \ t\in[0,T],\qquad(5.2)$$

where the initial condition $X_0^n, X_0\in\mathbb{R}$ is given.

Theorem 5.1 *Let X^n, X be the solutions of equations (5.1) and (5.2), respectively, and b^n,σ^n,h^n be Lipschitz with the constant K with respect to x. Assume that*

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty}X_0^n=X_0$;

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |b^n(s,X_s)-b(s,X_s)|^2ds]=0,\\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\sigma^n(s,X_s)-\sigma(s,X_s)|^2ds]=0,\\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |h^n(s,X_s)-h(s,X_s)|^2ds]=0.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^n-X_t|^2]=0.$

Proof: By (5.1) and (5.2) we obtain that for all $t\in[0,T]$,

$$X_t^n-X_t=X_0^n-X_0+I_t^1+I_t^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_t^1 &= \int_0^t[b^n(s,X_s^n)-b^n(s,X_s)]ds+\int_0^t[\sigma^n(s,X_s^n)-\sigma^n(s,X_s)]dB_s\\ &\quad+\int_0^t[h^n(X_s^n)-h^n(X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}I_t^2 &= \int_0^t[b^n(s,X_s)-b(s,X_s)]ds+\int_0^t[\sigma^n(s,X_s)-\sigma(s,X_s)]dB_s\\ &\quad+\int_0^t[h^n(X_s)-h(X_s)]d\langle B\rangle_s.\end{aligned}$$

Since b^n,σ^n,h^n are Lipschitz with respect to x, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_t^1|^2] &\le C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |b^n(s,X_s^n)-b^n(s,X_s)|^2ds]+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|\sigma^n(s,X_s^n)-\sigma^n(s,X_s)|^2ds]\\ &\quad+C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|h^n(X_s^n)-h^n(X_s)|^2ds]\\ &\le C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|X_s^n-X_s|^2ds]\\ &\le C\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|X_r^n-X_r|^2]ds,\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant, which is independent of n. On the other hand, thanks to (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_t^2|^2] &\le 3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|b^n(s,X_s)-b(s,X_s)|^2ds]+3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|\sigma^n(s,X_s)-\sigma(s,X_s)|^2ds]\\ &\quad+3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T|h^n(s,X_s)-h(s,X_s)|^2ds]\\ &\to 0, n\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^n-X_t|^2] \le 3|X_0^n-X_0|^2+3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_t^1|^2]+3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|I_t^2|^2]$$

$$\leq \quad 3|X_0^n - X_0|^2 + C\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{r\in[0,s]} |X_r^n - X_r|^2]ds + 3\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |I_t^2|^2].$$

Due to Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t^n - X_t|^2] &\leq C|X_0^n - X_0|^2 + C\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |I_t^2|^2] \\ &\to 0, n \to \infty.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 5.2 *If the Lipschitz condition of b^n, σ^n, h^n is replaced by the following condition (H')There exists a strictly increasing function $\rho : [0,\infty) \mapsto [0,\infty)$ such that $\rho(0) = 0$,*

$$\int_{0+} \rho^{-1}(u)du = \infty$$

and for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|b^n - b^n(x')|^2 + |\sigma^n - \sigma^n(x')|^2 + |h^n - h^n(x')|^2 \leq \rho(|x - x'|^2),$$

then Theorem 5.1 holds true.

By virtue of the following Lemma which was established in [2], the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. We omit it.

Lemma 5.3 *(Bihari inequality) Let $u_0 \geq 0$ and $u(t), v(t)$ be continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$. If $H : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous and increasing such that $H(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and*

$$u(t) \leq u_0 + \int_0^t v(s)H(u(s))ds, \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq F^{-1}(F(u_0) + \int_0^t v(s)ds), \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{H(s)}, \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

and F^{-1} is the inverse function of F. In particular, $u_0 = 0$ and

$$\int_{0+} \frac{ds}{H(s)} = \infty,$$

then $u(t) = 0$, for all $t \geq 0$.

Remark 5.4 *Under (H'), Bai and Lin [1] obtained the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations 5.2 driven by the G-Brownian Motion via the method of the successive approximation.*

References

[1] X. Bai, Y. Lin, On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian Motion with integral-Lipschitz coefficients, arXiv:1002.1046v2 [math.PR].

[2] I. Bihari, A generaliztion of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problem of differential equations, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar 7 (1956) 71–94.

[3] L. Denis, M. Hu, S. Peng, Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian motion paths, arXiv:math.PR/0802.1240v2.

[4] F. Gao, Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equatiosn driven by G-Brownian motion, Stochastic Process and their Applications 119 (2009) 3356–3382.

[5] M. Hu, S. Peng, On the representation theorem of G-expectations and paths of G-Brownian Motion, arXiv:0904.4519v1 [math.PR].

[6] Q. Lin, Some properties of stochastic differential equations driven by the G-Brownian motion, preprint.

[7] S. Peng, G-Expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type, Stochastic analysis and applications, 541-567, Abel Symposium, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[8] S. Peng, Law of large numbers and central limit theorem under nonlinear expectations, arXiv:math.PR/0702358v1.

[9] S. Peng, G-Brownian motion and dynamic risk measure under volatility uncertainty, arXiv:math.PR/07112834v1.

[10] S. Peng, Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation, Stochastic Processes and their Applications 118(12)(2008) 2223–2253.

[11] S. Peng, A new central limit theorem under sublinear expectations, arXiv:math.PR/0803.2656vl.

[12] S. Peng, Survey on normal distributions, central limit theorem, Brownian motion and the related stochastic calculus under sublinear expectations, Sci. China Ser. A 52 (2009) 1391–1411.

[13] S. Peng, Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty, arXiv:1002.4546v1.

[14] S. Peng, Tightness, weak compactness of nonlinear expectations and application to central limit theorem, arXiv:1006.2541v1 [math.PR].